Case begins to right on the Attorney Auth. for the FBI's domestic intelligence activities by claiming its own authority under the Rules adopted by the 93D Congress, as read Rule XI para-graph 11.(k)(1)(b) and come to such language as "abstract" "more than ambiguous" "the Constitution of the U.S." I see the possibility of a working arrangement with the FBI in which this committee does for the FBI what it would be reluctant to do itself. As with people who abuse the Privacy Act by phrasing things as assumptions (p. IV) affect I, VII.

On VIII I shall attempt this to include all and as HISC calls "aid" to any Gen committee on almost any subject. I have in mind FOI/litigation intrigants

"at his direction" the FBI's investigative authority line (IV) is both incomplete and more explanatory than warranted. Cont. on pp 1

Note - Where these are refs. to the A.G. (B) and I must not forget Mitchell's Jodelaid conspir. ruled illegal by the Supreme Court in U.S. vs Dist. Court.

There is a useful quote on the limits imposed on all proofs in the pinned graf. on 2.